

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: 1st Yr Seminar(freshman)**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	417	3.74
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	427	3.81
Sections Surveyed: 62	Instructors Surveyed: 8	Students Responding: 412

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	465	3.78
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	463	3.81
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	464	3.75
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	458	3.72
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	446	3.70
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	457	3.78
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	458	3.79
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	458	3.71
Sections Surveyed: 62	Instructors Surveyed: 8	Students Responding: 450

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	169	3.72
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	169	3.65
I understand how to use library resources online.	181	3.61
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	182	3.74
Sections Surveyed: 56	Instructors Surveyed: 8	Students Responding: 182

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Agricultural Technology**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	72	3.86
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	72	3.85
Sections Surveyed: 6	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 35

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	73	3.81
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	74	3.89
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	74	3.88
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	74	3.84
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	73	3.82
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	71	3.89
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	72	3.86
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	72	3.88
Sections Surveyed: 6	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 36

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	27	3.63
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	26	3.65
I understand how to use library resources online.	26	3.12
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	27	3.52
Sections Surveyed: 6	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 27

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Aircraft Airframe Technology**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	122	3.80
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	122	3.85
Sections Surveyed: 6	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 25

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	125	3.81
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	125	3.83
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	125	3.79
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	121	3.79
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	122	3.81
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	122	3.84
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	121	3.81
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	123	3.84
Sections Surveyed: 6	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 25

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	24	3.88
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	25	3.80
I understand how to use library resources online.	25	3.56
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	25	3.80
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 25

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

**Department: Aircraft Powerplant
Technology**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	11	3.36
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	11	3.64
Sections Surveyed: 4	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 3

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	11	3.45
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	11	3.45
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	11	3.27
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	11	3.73
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	11	3.55
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	11	3.73
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	11	3.55
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	11	3.73
Sections Surveyed: 4	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 3

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	2	3.00
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	2	2.50
I understand how to use library resources online.	2	3.50
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	2	3.00
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 2

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

Department: Architectural Design & Engineer

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	26	3.81
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	26	3.73

Sections Surveyed: 11 Instructors Surveyed: 3 Students Responding: 11

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	27	3.67
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	27	3.74
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	27	3.56
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	28	3.64
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	27	3.70
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	28	3.61
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	28	3.64
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	28	3.82

Sections Surveyed: 11 Instructors Surveyed: 3 Students Responding: 12

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	6	4.00
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	6	3.83
I understand how to use library resources online.	7	3.57
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	7	3.71

Sections Surveyed: 5 Instructors Surveyed: 3 Students Responding: 7

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Auto Collision & Management**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	151	3.76
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	151	3.68
Sections Surveyed: 13	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 41

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	151	3.72
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	150	3.77
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	150	3.73
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	146	3.71
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	145	3.64
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	149	3.81
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	150	3.71
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	151	3.72
Sections Surveyed: 13	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 41

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	32	3.34
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	35	3.20
I understand how to use library resources online.	37	3.35
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	37	3.51
Sections Surveyed: 7	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 37

**Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report**

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

Department: Automotive Technology

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	132	3.89
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	133	3.86
Sections Surveyed: 19	Instructors Surveyed: 5	Students Responding: 41

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	133	3.84
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	132	3.89
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	132	3.81
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	132	3.85
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	128	3.85
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	132	3.86
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	133	3.86
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	132	3.86
Sections Surveyed: 19	Instructors Surveyed: 5	Students Responding: 41

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	36	3.50
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	36	3.50
I understand how to use library resources online.	36	3.53
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	35	3.86
Sections Surveyed: 9	Instructors Surveyed: 4	Students Responding: 36

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Biology**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	422	3.69
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	421	3.83
Sections Surveyed: 51	Instructors Surveyed: 12	Students Responding: 242

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	56	3.86
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	53	3.79
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	55	3.82
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	56	3.91
Sections Surveyed: 8	Instructors Surveyed: 4	Students Responding: 37

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	516	3.76
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	521	3.83
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	517	3.75
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	520	3.70
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	493	3.76
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	518	3.83
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	507	3.82
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	517	3.81
Sections Surveyed: 59	Instructors Surveyed: 15	Students Responding: 287

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	154	3.79
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	157	3.82
I understand how to use library resources online.	182	3.57
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	191	3.76
Sections Surveyed: 46	Instructors Surveyed: 13	Students Responding: 195

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

Department: Biomedical Equipment Technolog

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	52	3.87
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	52	3.87
Sections Surveyed: 11	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 24

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	51	3.86
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	52	3.87
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	52	3.77
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	52	3.88
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	52	3.87
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	51	3.90
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	52	3.88
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	52	3.87
Sections Surveyed: 11	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 24

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	14	3.86
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	14	3.71
I understand how to use library resources online.	14	3.57
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	15	3.73
Sections Surveyed: 6	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 15

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Building Construction Technolo**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	108	3.74
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	109	3.83
Sections Surveyed: 7	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 31

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	109	3.77
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	109	3.80
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	109	3.83
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	108	3.81
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	106	3.85
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	108	3.89
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	109	3.77
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	109	3.74
Sections Surveyed: 7	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 31

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	20	3.70
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	20	3.70
I understand how to use library resources online.	19	3.63
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	18	3.67
Sections Surveyed: 5	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 20

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

Department: Bus. & Behav. & Social Sci.

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	27	3.63
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	27	3.33
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	27	3.33
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	27	3.74

Sections Surveyed: 3 Instructors Surveyed: 2 Students Responding: 26

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	27	3.56
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	26	3.69
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	27	3.74
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	26	3.31
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	24	3.58
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	26	3.38
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	20	3.60
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	26	3.62

Sections Surveyed: 3 Instructors Surveyed: 2 Students Responding: 26

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	2	4.00
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	2	4.00
I understand how to use library resources online.	4	4.00
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	6	4.00

Sections Surveyed: 3 Instructors Surveyed: 2 Students Responding: 6

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Bus. & Behav. & Social Scienc**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	296	3.86
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	303	3.86
Sections Surveyed: 33	Instructors Surveyed: 9	Students Responding: 263

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	62	3.90
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	62	3.87
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	62	3.84
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	62	3.85
Sections Surveyed: 7	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 57

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	494	3.78
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	492	3.85
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	492	3.80
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	491	3.69
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	466	3.79
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	492	3.80
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	470	3.77
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	493	3.77
Sections Surveyed: 40	Instructors Surveyed: 9	Students Responding: 417

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	43	3.63
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	44	3.68
I understand how to use library resources online.	72	3.57
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	77	3.65
Sections Surveyed: 34	Instructors Surveyed: 8	Students Responding: 79

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

Department: Business Management Technology

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	143	3.87
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	149	3.88
Sections Surveyed: 28	Instructors Surveyed: 9	Students Responding: 71

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	25	3.76
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	24	3.46
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	25	3.60
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	25	3.64
Sections Surveyed: 6	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 23

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	175	3.66
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	174	3.78
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	176	3.55
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	174	3.63
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	170	3.70
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	171	3.71
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	168	3.70
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	175	3.74
Sections Surveyed: 30	Instructors Surveyed: 9	Students Responding: 86

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	51	3.75
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	52	3.75
I understand how to use library resources online.	56	3.43
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	56	3.61
Sections Surveyed: 26	Instructors Surveyed: 9	Students Responding: 59

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

Department: Chemical Technology

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	40	3.83
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	40	3.98
Sections Surveyed: 6	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 19

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	43	3.86
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	43	3.91
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	43	3.77
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	43	3.93
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	43	3.91
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	42	3.95
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	43	3.91
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	43	3.84
Sections Surveyed: 6	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 20

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	14	3.64
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	14	3.64
I understand how to use library resources online.	14	3.36
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	15	3.60
Sections Surveyed: 4	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 15

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

Department: Chemistry

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	166	3.43
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	165	3.78

Sections Surveyed: 13

Instructors Surveyed: 3

Students Responding: 100

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	166	3.47
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	168	3.61
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	168	3.67
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	166	3.42
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	160	3.67
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	167	3.53
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	166	3.52
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	166	3.49

Sections Surveyed: 13

Instructors Surveyed: 3

Students Responding: 101

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	55	3.76
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	55	3.65
I understand how to use library resources online.	54	3.57
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	56	3.77

Sections Surveyed: 7

Instructors Surveyed: 3

Students Responding: 58

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Communication and Humanities**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	278	3.80
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	289	3.81
Sections Surveyed: 38	Instructors Surveyed: 10	Students Responding: 263

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	6	3.33
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	7	3.43
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	7	3.14
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	7	3.71
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 7

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	364	3.80
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	362	3.83
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	361	3.61
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	356	3.73
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	342	3.71
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	358	3.74
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	354	3.81
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	356	3.77
Sections Surveyed: 39	Instructors Surveyed: 10	Students Responding: 325

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	123	3.76
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	126	3.75
I understand how to use library resources online.	154	3.65
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	161	3.73
Sections Surveyed: 33	Instructors Surveyed: 10	Students Responding: 167

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

**Department: Computer Maintenance
 Technolog**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	64	3.42
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	64	3.47
Sections Surveyed: 16	Instructors Surveyed: 6	Students Responding: 43

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	65	3.37
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	65	3.54
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	63	2.89
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	65	3.25
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	63	3.40
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	65	3.55
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	64	3.61
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	65	3.57
Sections Surveyed: 16	Instructors Surveyed: 6	Students Responding: 43

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	14	3.57
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	14	3.64
I understand how to use library resources online.	14	3.43
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	14	3.50
Sections Surveyed: 11	Instructors Surveyed: 6	Students Responding: 14

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Computer Networking & Security**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	87	3.80
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	86	3.81
Sections Surveyed: 12	Instructors Surveyed: 5	Students Responding: 49

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	87	3.75
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	88	3.80
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	88	3.76
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	87	3.67
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	84	3.82
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	88	3.74
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	87	3.83
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	87	3.83
Sections Surveyed: 12	Instructors Surveyed: 5	Students Responding: 50

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	29	3.83
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	29	3.83
I understand how to use library resources online.	27	3.63
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	28	3.86
Sections Surveyed: 11	Instructors Surveyed: 5	Students Responding: 29

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

Department: Computer Science

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	8	4.00
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	9	4.00

Sections Surveyed: 3 Instructors Surveyed: 1 Students Responding: 7

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	9	4.00
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	9	4.00
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	9	3.89
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	9	3.89
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	9	3.67
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	9	3.89
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	9	4.00
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	9	3.89

Sections Surveyed: 3 Instructors Surveyed: 1 Students Responding: 7

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	3	3.67
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	3	3.67
I understand how to use library resources online.	3	4.00
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	3	4.00

Sections Surveyed: 3 Instructors Surveyed: 1 Students Responding: 3

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen	Department: Culinary Arts
------------------------------------	----------------------------------

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	36	3.94
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	37	3.89

Sections Surveyed: 13 Instructors Surveyed: 3 Students Responding: 15

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	42	3.90
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	41	3.93
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	41	3.93
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	41	3.93
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	38	3.92
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	40	3.90
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	41	3.90
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	40	3.95

Sections Surveyed: 13 Instructors Surveyed: 3 Students Responding: 15

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	3	3.33
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	3	3.00
I understand how to use library resources online.	4	3.75
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	4	3.25

Sections Surveyed: 3 Instructors Surveyed: 2 Students Responding: 4

**Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report**

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Dental Assistant**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	43	3.98
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	43	3.98

Sections Surveyed: 12 Instructors Surveyed: 2 Students Responding: 12

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	43	3.91
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	43	3.93
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	43	3.93
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	43	3.95
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	42	3.95
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	43	3.98
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	43	3.91
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	43	3.95

Sections Surveyed: 12 Instructors Surveyed: 2 Students Responding: 12

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	10	3.90
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	10	3.90
I understand how to use library resources online.	10	3.60
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	10	3.80

Sections Surveyed: 5 Instructors Surveyed: 1 Students Responding: 10

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Dental Hygiene**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	37	3.92
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	38	3.84
Sections Surveyed: 7	Instructors Surveyed: 8	Students Responding: 9

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	2	4.00
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	2	4.00
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	2	4.00
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	2	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 2

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	34	3.82
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	34	3.94
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	34	3.71
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	38	3.87
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	38	3.95
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	39	3.87
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	39	3.90
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	40	3.83
Sections Surveyed: 7	Instructors Surveyed: 8	Students Responding: 9

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	7	3.86
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	7	4.00
I understand how to use library resources online.	8	3.75
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	8	3.88
Sections Surveyed: 4	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 8

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

Department: Dental Hygiene

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	6	4.00
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	6	3.67

Sections Surveyed: 1

Instructors Surveyed: 5

Students Responding: 2

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	6	4.00
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	6	4.00
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	6	4.00
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	6	4.00
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	5	4.00
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	6	4.00
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	6	4.00
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	6	4.00

Sections Surveyed: 1

Instructors Surveyed: 5

Students Responding: 2

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

Department: Developmental English

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	32	3.69
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	32	3.72
Sections Surveyed: 6	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 30

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	34	3.76
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	33	3.79
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	34	3.65
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	33	3.73
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	34	3.65
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	33	3.85
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	34	3.79
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	33	3.70
Sections Surveyed: 6	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 32

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	4	4.00
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	4	3.75
I understand how to use library resources online.	4	3.75
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	4	3.75
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 4

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

Department: Developmental English

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	68	3.66
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	69	3.67

Sections Surveyed: 10 Instructors Surveyed: 3 Students Responding: 49

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	70	3.71
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	72	3.72
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	72	3.65
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	71	3.65
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	72	3.67
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	72	3.69
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	72	3.71
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	71	3.72

Sections Surveyed: 10 Instructors Surveyed: 3 Students Responding: 51

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	12	3.75
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	11	3.82
I understand how to use library resources online.	12	3.67
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	12	3.83

Sections Surveyed: 3 Instructors Surveyed: 2 Students Responding: 12

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

Department: Developmental Math

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	21	4.00
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	21	4.00

Sections Surveyed: 7 Instructors Surveyed: 3 Students Responding: 13

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	23	4.00
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	23	4.00
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	23	4.00
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	23	4.00
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	23	4.00
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	23	3.96
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	23	4.00
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	23	4.00

Sections Surveyed: 7 Instructors Surveyed: 3 Students Responding: 14

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	2	4.00
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	2	4.00
I understand how to use library resources online.	2	4.00
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	2	4.00

Sections Surveyed: 2 Instructors Surveyed: 2 Students Responding: 2

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Developmental Math**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	235	3.76
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	239	3.80
Sections Surveyed: 40	Instructors Surveyed: 8	Students Responding: 149

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	8	3.88
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	8	3.88
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	8	3.88
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	8	3.88
Sections Surveyed: 2	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 8

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	250	3.75
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	249	3.77
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	250	3.82
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	246	3.72
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	240	3.65
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	244	3.78
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	248	3.79
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	249	3.78
Sections Surveyed: 42	Instructors Surveyed: 8	Students Responding: 157

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	74	3.68
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	74	3.57
I understand how to use library resources online.	72	3.31
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	75	3.53
Sections Surveyed: 21	Instructors Surveyed: 6	Students Responding: 76

**Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report**

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

Department: Digital Media Design

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	56	3.84
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	56	3.88
Sections Surveyed: 15	Instructors Surveyed: 5	Students Responding: 24

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	56	3.73
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	56	3.80
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	56	3.59
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	56	3.80
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	52	3.67
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	56	3.77
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	54	3.78
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	56	3.80
Sections Surveyed: 15	Instructors Surveyed: 5	Students Responding: 24

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	14	3.50
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	14	3.64
I understand how to use library resources online.	13	3.54
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	14	3.50
Sections Surveyed: 9	Instructors Surveyed: 4	Students Responding: 14

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen	Department: Digital Media Design Technolog
------------------------------------	---

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	5	4.00
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	5	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 5

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	5	4.00
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	5	4.00
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	5	3.80
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	5	4.00
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	4	3.75
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	5	3.80
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	5	4.00
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	5	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 5

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Education & Training**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	110	3.81
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	113	3.81
Sections Surveyed: 23	Instructors Surveyed: 10	Students Responding: 62

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	116	3.78
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	114	3.81
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	116	3.66
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	116	3.78
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	114	3.76
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	115	3.85
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	111	3.82
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	114	3.92
Sections Surveyed: 23	Instructors Surveyed: 10	Students Responding: 64

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	41	3.83
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	42	3.79
I understand how to use library resources online.	40	3.55
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	40	3.68
Sections Surveyed: 21	Instructors Surveyed: 10	Students Responding: 42

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Electromechanical Technology**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	34	3.94
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	35	3.91
Sections Surveyed: 6	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 18

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	39	3.95
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	39	3.95
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	38	3.95
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	39	3.95
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	39	3.82
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	39	3.85
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	35	3.89
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	39	3.77
Sections Surveyed: 6	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 20

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	3	4.00
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	3	4.00
I understand how to use library resources online.	5	3.20
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	5	3.80
Sections Surveyed: 4	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 5

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Engineering**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	11	3.18
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	12	3.75

Sections Surveyed: 4 Instructors Surveyed: 1 Students Responding: 11

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	11	3.09
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	13	3.08
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	13	3.31
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	13	2.77
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	13	3.77
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	13	3.62
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	13	3.46
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	13	3.00

Sections Surveyed: 4 Instructors Surveyed: 1 Students Responding: 12

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	6	3.00
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	5	3.20
I understand how to use library resources online.	6	3.00
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	6	3.00

Sections Surveyed: 2 Instructors Surveyed: 1 Students Responding: 6

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen	Department: English
------------------------------------	----------------------------

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	244	3.65
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	258	3.64
Sections Surveyed: 48	Instructors Surveyed: 14	Students Responding: 252

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	21	3.71
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	21	3.19
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	20	3.30
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	21	3.71
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 21

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	313	3.54
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	312	3.66
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	315	3.44
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	308	3.46
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	298	3.54
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	313	3.60
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	310	3.58
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	307	3.60
Sections Surveyed: 52	Instructors Surveyed: 14	Students Responding: 310

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	71	3.69
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	68	3.71
I understand how to use library resources online.	92	3.55
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	94	3.70
Sections Surveyed: 39	Instructors Surveyed: 12	Students Responding: 97

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: English**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	28	3.93
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	30	3.90
Sections Surveyed: 8	Instructors Surveyed: 5	Students Responding: 29

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	11	3.36
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	11	3.00
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	11	2.91
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	10	3.10
Sections Surveyed: 2	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 11

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	58	3.71
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	58	3.74
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	58	3.53
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	58	3.57
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	57	3.75
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	58	3.71
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	56	3.70
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	56	3.66
Sections Surveyed: 10	Instructors Surveyed: 6	Students Responding: 57

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	21	3.90
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	23	3.96
I understand how to use library resources online.	42	3.67
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	42	3.71
Sections Surveyed: 9	Instructors Surveyed: 6	Students Responding: 42

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen	Department: Foreign Language
------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	25	3.76
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	25	3.80
Sections Surveyed: 4	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 25

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	3	4.00
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	3	4.00
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	3	4.00
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	3	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 3

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	31	3.94
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	31	3.94
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	31	3.87
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	31	3.87
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	30	3.73
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	31	3.90
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	31	3.90
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	31	3.87
Sections Surveyed: 5	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 31

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	19	3.84
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	19	3.79
I understand how to use library resources online.	22	3.64
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	24	3.63
Sections Surveyed: 5	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 24

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Foreign Language**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	6	3.67
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	6	3.67
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 6

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	4	4.00
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	4	4.00
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	4	4.00
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	4	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 4

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	13	3.77
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	13	3.69
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	13	3.92
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	13	3.69
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	13	3.69
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	13	3.77
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	13	3.85
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	13	3.77
Sections Surveyed: 4	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 13

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	6	3.50
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	6	3.50
I understand how to use library resources online.	8	3.13
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	8	3.38
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 8

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Freshman Year Seminar**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	44	3.80
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	46	3.80
Sections Surveyed: 11	Instructors Surveyed: 6	Students Responding: 46

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	48	3.69
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	48	3.81
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	47	3.72
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	47	3.87
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	44	3.86
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	48	3.83
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	48	3.83
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	47	3.81
Sections Surveyed: 11	Instructors Surveyed: 6	Students Responding: 48

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	21	3.71
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	21	3.67
I understand how to use library resources online.	21	3.62
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	21	3.71
Sections Surveyed: 10	Instructors Surveyed: 6	Students Responding: 21

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

Department: Graphics, Gaming & Simulation

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	46	3.76
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	46	3.87
Sections Surveyed: 8	Instructors Surveyed: 5	Students Responding: 19

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	46	3.76
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	47	3.77
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	47	3.64
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	46	3.87
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	42	3.83
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	47	3.81
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	46	3.78
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	47	3.77
Sections Surveyed: 8	Instructors Surveyed: 5	Students Responding: 19

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	10	4.00
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	10	3.90
I understand how to use library resources online.	10	3.80
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	10	3.90
Sections Surveyed: 7	Instructors Surveyed: 4	Students Responding: 10

**Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report**

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

Department: H.V.A.C. Technology

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	112	3.93
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	114	3.94

Sections Surveyed: 11

Instructors Surveyed: 3

Students Responding: 28

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	114	3.89
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	113	3.91
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	113	3.92
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	113	3.96
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	112	3.95
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	113	3.97
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	113	3.94
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	114	3.94

Sections Surveyed: 11

Instructors Surveyed: 3

Students Responding: 28

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	27	3.89
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	27	3.81
I understand how to use library resources online.	26	3.65
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	26	3.77

Sections Surveyed: 4

Instructors Surveyed: 3

Students Responding: 27

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Health Information Technology**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	225	3.88
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	227	3.88
Sections Surveyed: 19	Instructors Surveyed: 8	Students Responding: 149

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	19	3.68
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	20	3.80
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	20	3.60
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	20	3.70
Sections Surveyed: 2	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 19

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	256	3.85
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	253	3.92
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	252	3.86
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	254	3.81
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	250	3.86
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	254	3.84
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	245	3.86
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	255	3.88
Sections Surveyed: 19	Instructors Surveyed: 8	Students Responding: 163

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	97	3.90
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	99	3.90
I understand how to use library resources online.	107	3.62
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	108	3.83
Sections Surveyed: 17	Instructors Surveyed: 7	Students Responding: 109

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

Department: Health Information Technology

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	22	3.86
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	22	3.86
Sections Surveyed: 2	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 11

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	30	3.80
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	30	3.80
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	31	3.81
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	31	3.81
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	31	3.84
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	31	3.81
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	31	3.81
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	31	3.81
Sections Surveyed: 2	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 13

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	1	3.00
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	1	3.00
I understand how to use library resources online.	1	3.00
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	1	3.00
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 1

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen	Department: Humanities
------------------------------------	-------------------------------

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	16	3.75
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	16	3.88
Sections Surveyed: 4	Instructors Surveyed: 4	Students Responding: 15

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	4	3.75
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	4	4.00
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	4	4.00
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	4	3.75
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 4

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	31	3.77
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	31	3.90
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	31	3.65
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	31	3.90
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	30	3.77
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	31	3.81
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	31	3.84
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	31	3.90
Sections Surveyed: 5	Instructors Surveyed: 4	Students Responding: 30

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	3	3.67
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	3	4.00
I understand how to use library resources online.	4	3.75
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	4	3.75
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 4

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Math and Physics**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	184	3.74
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	188	3.80
Sections Surveyed: 30	Instructors Surveyed: 8	Students Responding: 189

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	18	3.89
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	18	3.72
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	18	3.72
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	19	3.79
Sections Surveyed: 4	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 19

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	237	3.65
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	238	3.68
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	238	3.73
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	235	3.55
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	220	3.55
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	237	3.62
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	233	3.70
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	238	3.66
Sections Surveyed: 34	Instructors Surveyed: 8	Students Responding: 241

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	103	3.72
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	104	3.71
I understand how to use library resources online.	109	3.63
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	112	3.71
Sections Surveyed: 28	Instructors Surveyed: 8	Students Responding: 115

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Mathematics**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	2	2.50
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	3	2.00

Sections Surveyed: 1 Instructors Surveyed: 1 Students Responding: 3

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	6	1.67
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	6	2.50
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	5	3.40
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	6	1.33
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	5	1.60
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	6	2.50
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	5	2.20
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	6	2.33

Sections Surveyed: 1 Instructors Surveyed: 1 Students Responding: 6

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Mechatronics Technology**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	74	3.72
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	75	3.79
Sections Surveyed: 11	Instructors Surveyed: 4	Students Responding: 55

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	75	3.64
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	74	3.68
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	75	3.68
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	74	3.77
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	73	3.71
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	73	3.89
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	74	3.85
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	73	3.77
Sections Surveyed: 11	Instructors Surveyed: 4	Students Responding: 55

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	22	3.27
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	22	3.36
I understand how to use library resources online.	22	3.59
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	21	3.71
Sections Surveyed: 6	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 22

**Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report**

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Medical Assistant**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	50	3.50
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	50	3.58
Sections Surveyed: 5	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 34

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	54	3.43
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	54	3.50
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	54	3.44
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	53	3.47
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	54	3.52
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	55	3.40
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	54	3.65
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	54	3.61
Sections Surveyed: 5	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 36

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	9	3.89
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	9	3.89
I understand how to use library resources online.	9	3.44
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	9	3.67
Sections Surveyed: 2	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 9

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Medical Info. Transcriptionist**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	38	3.58
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	38	3.66
Sections Surveyed: 2	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 30

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	38	3.79
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	37	3.92
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	36	3.64
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	38	3.82
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	37	3.81
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	38	3.84
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	38	3.82
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	38	3.82
Sections Surveyed: 2	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 30

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	22	2.55
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	22	2.86
I understand how to use library resources online.	21	3.38
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	22	3.55
Sections Surveyed: 2	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 22

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Nurse Assistant**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	26	3.88
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	26	3.88
Sections Surveyed: 5	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 15

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	4	3.75
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	4	3.50
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	4	3.25
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	4	3.50
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 4

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	27	3.89
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	27	3.93
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	27	3.96
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	27	3.85
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	28	3.86
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	27	3.85
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	24	3.92
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	27	3.89
Sections Surveyed: 5	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 16

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	7	4.00
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	8	3.75
I understand how to use library resources online.	7	4.00
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	7	3.86
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 8

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen	Department: Physics
------------------------------------	----------------------------

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	29	3.83
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	29	4.00

Sections Surveyed: 10 Instructors Surveyed: 3 Students Responding: 16

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	29	3.66
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	29	3.59
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	29	3.62
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	29	3.72
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	29	3.90
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	29	3.66
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	29	3.66
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	29	3.86

Sections Surveyed: 10 Instructors Surveyed: 3 Students Responding: 16

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	8	4.00
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	8	4.00
I understand how to use library resources online.	8	3.75
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	9	3.89

Sections Surveyed: 4 Instructors Surveyed: 2 Students Responding: 9

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Surgical Technology**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	17	3.18
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	17	3.53
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 6

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	5	4.00
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	5	3.60
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	5	3.80
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	5	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 5

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	19	3.63
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	20	3.70
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	20	3.65
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	20	3.75
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	20	3.85
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	20	3.80
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	18	3.56
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	20	3.40
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 6

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	3	3.67
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	3	3.33
I understand how to use library resources online.	5	2.80
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	5	3.60
Sections Surveyed: 2	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 6

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen	Department: Telecommunications Technology
------------------------------------	--

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	21	3.86
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	21	3.90
Sections Surveyed: 5	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 20

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	21	3.81
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	21	3.81
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	21	3.71
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	20	3.85
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	20	3.70
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	21	3.76
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	21	3.81
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	20	3.95
Sections Surveyed: 5	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 20

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	7	3.86
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	7	3.86
I understand how to use library resources online.	6	3.33
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	7	3.71
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 7

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Tool & Die Technology**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	54	3.81
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	55	3.87

Sections Surveyed: 13 Instructors Surveyed: 3 Students Responding: 17

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	55	3.98
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	55	3.95
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	55	3.89
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	55	3.89
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	46	3.76
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	55	3.95
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	54	3.87
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	54	3.91

Sections Surveyed: 13 Instructors Surveyed: 3 Students Responding: 17

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	13	3.46
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	13	3.62
I understand how to use library resources online.	12	3.33
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	13	3.46

Sections Surveyed: 6 Instructors Surveyed: 3 Students Responding: 13

Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen

Department: Vocational Nursing

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	169	3.88
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	173	3.81
Sections Surveyed: 8	Instructors Surveyed: 4	Students Responding: 36

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	173	3.69
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	176	3.78
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	176	3.57
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	174	3.75
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	175	3.83
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	172	3.77
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	172	3.83
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	176	3.82
Sections Surveyed: 8	Instructors Surveyed: 4	Students Responding: 36

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	35	3.51
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	36	3.44
I understand how to use library resources online.	35	3.51
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	36	3.72
Sections Surveyed: 5	Instructors Surveyed: 4	Students Responding: 36

**Texas State Technical College
Fall 2015 End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report**

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

Location: TSTC in Harlingen **Department: Welding Technology**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	139	3.88
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	139	3.86

Sections Surveyed: 13 Instructors Surveyed: 4 Students Responding: 51

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	140	3.90
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	140	3.89
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	140	3.88
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	139	3.88
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	132	3.89
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	138	3.88
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	140	3.90
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	138	3.88

Sections Surveyed: 13 Instructors Surveyed: 4 Students Responding: 51

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	36	3.81
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	36	3.78
I understand how to use library resources online.	36	3.58
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	36	3.83

Sections Surveyed: 8 Instructors Surveyed: 4 Students Responding: 36