

Texas State Technical College
17/FA End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

| Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows: | |
|--|-----|
| Not Applicable | = 0 |
| Strongly Disagree | = 1 |
| Disagree Somewhat | = 2 |
| Agree Somewhat | = 3 |
| Strongly Agree | = 4 |

Location: TSTC in Marshall **Department: Biomedical Equipment Technolog**

| Face-to-face Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion. | 11 | 4.00 |
| Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment. | 11 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 8 | Instructors Surveyed: 2 | Students Responding: 4 |

| Web Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The online format was clear and easy to access. | 5 | 4.00 |
| The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course. | 5 | 4.00 |
| I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course. | 5 | 4.00 |
| The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable. | 5 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 3 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 2 |

| Instructor Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The instructor communicated course content effectively. | 12 | 4.00 |
| The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus. | 12 | 4.00 |
| The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress. | 12 | 4.00 |
| I would recommend this instructor to other students. | 12 | 4.00 |
| The instructor was responsive outside of class times. | 11 | 4.00 |
| The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas. | 12 | 4.00 |
| The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn. | 12 | 4.00 |
| Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals. | 12 | 3.92 |
| Sections Surveyed: 8 | Instructors Surveyed: 2 | Students Responding: 5 |

| General Questions | Responses | Average |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The condition of lab equipment was acceptable. | 1 | 2.00 |
| The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable. | 1 | 4.00 |
| I understand how to use library resources online. | 1 | 4.00 |
| I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc. | 1 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 1 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 1 |

Texas State Technical College
17/FA End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

| Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows: | |
|--|-----|
| Not Applicable | = 0 |
| Strongly Disagree | = 1 |
| Disagree Somewhat | = 2 |
| Agree Somewhat | = 3 |
| Strongly Agree | = 4 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Location: TSTC in Marshall | Department: Business Management Technolog |
|-----------------------------------|--|

| Face-to-face Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion. | 4 | 4.00 |
| Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment. | 4 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 1 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 4 |

| Web Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| The online format was clear and easy to access. | 4 | 4.00 |
| The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course. | 4 | 4.00 |
| I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course. | 4 | 4.00 |
| The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable. | 4 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 1 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 4 |

| Instructor Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| The instructor communicated course content effectively. | 4 | 4.00 |
| The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus. | 4 | 4.00 |
| The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress. | 4 | 4.00 |
| I would recommend this instructor to other students. | 4 | 4.00 |
| The instructor was responsive outside of class times. | 4 | 4.00 |
| The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas. | 4 | 4.00 |
| The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn. | 4 | 4.00 |
| Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals. | 4 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 1 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 4 |

Texas State Technical College
17/FA End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

| Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows: | |
|--|-----|
| Not Applicable | = 0 |
| Strongly Disagree | = 1 |
| Disagree Somewhat | = 2 |
| Agree Somewhat | = 3 |
| Strongly Agree | = 4 |

Location: TSTC in Marshall **Department: C.A.D. and Design Technology**

| Face-to-face Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion. | 16 | 3.75 |
| Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment. | 14 | 3.86 |
| Sections Surveyed: 7 | Instructors Surveyed: 4 | Students Responding: 12 |

| Web Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| The online format was clear and easy to access. | 17 | 3.82 |
| The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course. | 16 | 3.63 |
| I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course. | 17 | 3.76 |
| The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable. | 17 | 3.82 |
| Sections Surveyed: 7 | Instructors Surveyed: 4 | Students Responding: 13 |

| Instructor Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| The instructor communicated course content effectively. | 17 | 3.65 |
| The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus. | 16 | 3.81 |
| The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress. | 17 | 3.76 |
| I would recommend this instructor to other students. | 17 | 3.76 |
| The instructor was responsive outside of class times. | 16 | 3.81 |
| The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas. | 17 | 3.88 |
| The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn. | 17 | 3.71 |
| Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals. | 16 | 3.69 |
| Sections Surveyed: 7 | Instructors Surveyed: 4 | Students Responding: 13 |

| General Questions | Responses | Average |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The condition of lab equipment was acceptable. | 5 | 3.60 |
| The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable. | 5 | 3.80 |
| I understand how to use library resources online. | 5 | 3.40 |
| I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc. | 5 | 3.40 |
| Sections Surveyed: 2 | Instructors Surveyed: 2 | Students Responding: 5 |

Texas State Technical College
17/FA End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

| Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows: | |
|--|-----|
| Not Applicable | = 0 |
| Strongly Disagree | = 1 |
| Disagree Somewhat | = 2 |
| Agree Somewhat | = 3 |
| Strongly Agree | = 4 |

Location: TSTC in Marshall **Department: Computer Aided Manufacturing**

| Face-to-face Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion. | 2 | 4.00 |
| Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment. | 2 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 1 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 2 |

| Web Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The online format was clear and easy to access. | 2 | 4.00 |
| The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course. | 2 | 4.00 |
| I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course. | 2 | 4.00 |
| The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable. | 2 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 1 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 2 |

| Instructor Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The instructor communicated course content effectively. | 2 | 4.00 |
| The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus. | 2 | 4.00 |
| The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress. | 2 | 4.00 |
| I would recommend this instructor to other students. | 2 | 4.00 |
| The instructor was responsive outside of class times. | 2 | 4.00 |
| The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas. | 2 | 4.00 |
| The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn. | 2 | 4.00 |
| Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals. | 2 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 1 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 2 |

| General Questions | Responses | Average |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The condition of lab equipment was acceptable. | 1 | 4.00 |
| The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable. | 1 | 4.00 |
| I understand how to use library resources online. | 1 | 4.00 |
| I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc. | 1 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 1 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 1 |

**Texas State Technical College
17/FA End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report**

| Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows: | |
|--|-----|
| Not Applicable | = 0 |
| Strongly Disagree | = 1 |
| Disagree Somewhat | = 2 |
| Agree Somewhat | = 3 |
| Strongly Agree | = 4 |

Location: TSTC in Marshall

Department: Computer Networking & Systems

| Face-to-face Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion. | 12 | 3.75 |
| Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment. | 12 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 5 | Instructors Surveyed: 3 | Students Responding: 11 |

| Instructor Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| The instructor communicated course content effectively. | 12 | 3.75 |
| The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus. | 12 | 3.92 |
| The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress. | 12 | 3.50 |
| I would recommend this instructor to other students. | 12 | 3.92 |
| The instructor was responsive outside of class times. | 12 | 3.83 |
| The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas. | 12 | 3.83 |
| The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn. | 12 | 3.75 |
| Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals. | 12 | 3.92 |
| Sections Surveyed: 5 | Instructors Surveyed: 3 | Students Responding: 11 |

| General Questions | Responses | Average |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| The condition of lab equipment was acceptable. | 4 | 4.00 |
| The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable. | 4 | 4.00 |
| I understand how to use library resources online. | 4 | 4.00 |
| I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc. | 4 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 2 | Instructors Surveyed: 2 | Students Responding: 4 |

Texas State Technical College
17/FA End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

| Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows: | |
|--|-----|
| Not Applicable | = 0 |
| Strongly Disagree | = 1 |
| Disagree Somewhat | = 2 |
| Agree Somewhat | = 3 |
| Strongly Agree | = 4 |

Location: TSTC in Marshall **Department: Cyber Security**

| Face-to-face Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion. | 8 | 3.75 |
| Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment. | 8 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 3 | Instructors Surveyed: 2 | Students Responding: 6 |

| Web Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| The online format was clear and easy to access. | 11 | 2.73 |
| The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course. | 9 | 2.44 |
| I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course. | 11 | 2.45 |
| The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable. | 10 | 2.90 |
| Sections Surveyed: 5 | Instructors Surveyed: 3 | Students Responding: 10 |

| Instructor Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| The instructor communicated course content effectively. | 19 | 3.21 |
| The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus. | 19 | 3.53 |
| The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress. | 19 | 2.95 |
| I would recommend this instructor to other students. | 18 | 3.28 |
| The instructor was responsive outside of class times. | 19 | 3.32 |
| The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas. | 19 | 3.42 |
| The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn. | 15 | 3.33 |
| Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals. | 19 | 3.32 |
| Sections Surveyed: 8 | Instructors Surveyed: 5 | Students Responding: 16 |

| General Questions | Responses | Average |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The condition of lab equipment was acceptable. | 1 | 1.00 |
| The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable. | 2 | 1.50 |
| I understand how to use library resources online. | 1 | 3.00 |
| I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc. | 1 | 2.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 2 | Instructors Surveyed: 2 | Students Responding: 2 |

Texas State Technical College
17/FA End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

| Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows: | |
|--|-----|
| Not Applicable | = 0 |
| Strongly Disagree | = 1 |
| Disagree Somewhat | = 2 |
| Agree Somewhat | = 3 |
| Strongly Agree | = 4 |

Location: TSTC in Marshall **Department: Developmental Education**

| Face-to-face Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-----------|---------|
| The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion. | 60 | 3.77 |
| Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment. | 60 | 3.82 |

Sections Surveyed: 14 Instructors Surveyed: 4 Students Responding: 42

| Instructor Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-----------|---------|
| The instructor communicated course content effectively. | 66 | 3.86 |
| The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus. | 66 | 3.86 |
| The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress. | 66 | 3.86 |
| I would recommend this instructor to other students. | 66 | 3.86 |
| The instructor was responsive outside of class times. | 64 | 3.77 |
| The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas. | 66 | 3.83 |
| The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn. | 66 | 3.80 |
| Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals. | 66 | 3.82 |

Sections Surveyed: 14 Instructors Surveyed: 4 Students Responding: 47

| General Questions | Responses | Average |
|---|-----------|---------|
| The condition of lab equipment was acceptable. | 18 | 3.67 |
| The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable. | 18 | 3.67 |
| I understand how to use library resources online. | 19 | 3.63 |
| I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc. | 21 | 3.67 |

Sections Surveyed: 7 Instructors Surveyed: 2 Students Responding: 21

Texas State Technical College
17/FA End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

| Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows: | |
|--|-----|
| Not Applicable | = 0 |
| Strongly Disagree | = 1 |
| Disagree Somewhat | = 2 |
| Agree Somewhat | = 3 |
| Strongly Agree | = 4 |

Location: TSTC in Marshall **Department: Diesel Equipment Technology**

| Face-to-face Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion. | 18 | 3.83 |
| Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment. | 18 | 3.78 |
| Sections Surveyed: 8 | Instructors Surveyed: 3 | Students Responding: 11 |

| Instructor Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| The instructor communicated course content effectively. | 18 | 3.83 |
| The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus. | 18 | 3.89 |
| The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress. | 18 | 3.89 |
| I would recommend this instructor to other students. | 18 | 3.83 |
| The instructor was responsive outside of class times. | 18 | 3.89 |
| The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas. | 18 | 3.94 |
| The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn. | 18 | 3.78 |
| Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals. | 18 | 3.83 |
| Sections Surveyed: 8 | Instructors Surveyed: 3 | Students Responding: 11 |

| General Questions | Responses | Average |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The condition of lab equipment was acceptable. | 4 | 3.50 |
| The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable. | 4 | 3.75 |
| I understand how to use library resources online. | 4 | 3.25 |
| I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc. | 4 | 3.75 |
| Sections Surveyed: 2 | Instructors Surveyed: 2 | Students Responding: 4 |

Texas State Technical College
17/FA End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

| Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows: | |
|--|-----|
| Not Applicable | = 0 |
| Strongly Disagree | = 1 |
| Disagree Somewhat | = 2 |
| Agree Somewhat | = 3 |
| Strongly Agree | = 4 |

Location: TSTC in Marshall

**Department: Electrical Lineworker
Technolo**

| Face-to-face Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion. | 27 | 3.59 |
| Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment. | 27 | 3.81 |
| Sections Surveyed: 13 | Instructors Surveyed: 5 | Students Responding: 10 |

| Web Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The online format was clear and easy to access. | 1 | 2.00 |
| The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course. | 1 | 3.00 |
| I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course. | 1 | 3.00 |
| The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable. | 1 | 3.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 1 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 1 |

| Instructor Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| The instructor communicated course content effectively. | 28 | 3.68 |
| The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus. | 28 | 3.75 |
| The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress. | 28 | 3.75 |
| I would recommend this instructor to other students. | 28 | 3.61 |
| The instructor was responsive outside of class times. | 28 | 3.61 |
| The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas. | 28 | 3.79 |
| The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn. | 28 | 3.68 |
| Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals. | 28 | 3.61 |
| Sections Surveyed: 14 | Instructors Surveyed: 6 | Students Responding: 10 |

| General Questions | Responses | Average |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The condition of lab equipment was acceptable. | 7 | 3.86 |
| The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable. | 7 | 3.57 |
| I understand how to use library resources online. | 7 | 3.71 |
| I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc. | 7 | 3.86 |
| Sections Surveyed: 5 | Instructors Surveyed: 3 | Students Responding: 7 |

Texas State Technical College
17/FA End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

| Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows: | |
|--|-----|
| Not Applicable | = 0 |
| Strongly Disagree | = 1 |
| Disagree Somewhat | = 2 |
| Agree Somewhat | = 3 |
| Strongly Agree | = 4 |

Location: TSTC in Marshall

Department: Freshman Year Seminar

| Face-to-face Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion. | 44 | 3.80 |
| Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment. | 44 | 3.77 |
| Sections Surveyed: 11 | Instructors Surveyed: 3 | Students Responding: 44 |

| Instructor Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| The instructor communicated course content effectively. | 45 | 3.82 |
| The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus. | 45 | 3.87 |
| The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress. | 45 | 3.84 |
| I would recommend this instructor to other students. | 45 | 3.80 |
| The instructor was responsive outside of class times. | 43 | 3.74 |
| The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas. | 45 | 3.78 |
| The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn. | 45 | 3.87 |
| Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals. | 45 | 3.78 |
| Sections Surveyed: 11 | Instructors Surveyed: 3 | Students Responding: 45 |

| General Questions | Responses | Average |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| The condition of lab equipment was acceptable. | 15 | 3.80 |
| The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable. | 15 | 3.80 |
| I understand how to use library resources online. | 15 | 3.40 |
| I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc. | 15 | 3.67 |
| Sections Surveyed: 8 | Instructors Surveyed: 2 | Students Responding: 15 |

Texas State Technical College
17/FA End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

| Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows: | |
|--|-----|
| Not Applicable | = 0 |
| Strongly Disagree | = 1 |
| Disagree Somewhat | = 2 |
| Agree Somewhat | = 3 |
| Strongly Agree | = 4 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Location: TSTC in Marshall | Department: General Academics |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|

| Face-to-face Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion. | 65 | 3.71 |
| Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment. | 66 | 3.76 |
| Sections Surveyed: 14 | Instructors Surveyed: 7 | Students Responding: 57 |

| Web Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| The online format was clear and easy to access. | 1 | 3.00 |
| The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable. | 1 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 1 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 1 |

| Instructor Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| The instructor communicated course content effectively. | 71 | 3.68 |
| The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus. | 72 | 3.72 |
| The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress. | 73 | 3.66 |
| I would recommend this instructor to other students. | 70 | 3.67 |
| The instructor was responsive outside of class times. | 69 | 3.64 |
| The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas. | 71 | 3.73 |
| The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn. | 72 | 3.67 |
| Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals. | 70 | 3.63 |
| Sections Surveyed: 15 | Instructors Surveyed: 7 | Students Responding: 62 |

| General Questions | Responses | Average |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| The condition of lab equipment was acceptable. | 9 | 3.67 |
| The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable. | 9 | 3.67 |
| I understand how to use library resources online. | 10 | 3.60 |
| I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc. | 11 | 3.55 |
| Sections Surveyed: 5 | Instructors Surveyed: 3 | Students Responding: 11 |

Texas State Technical College
17/FA End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

| Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows: | |
|--|-----|
| Not Applicable | = 0 |
| Strongly Disagree | = 1 |
| Disagree Somewhat | = 2 |
| Agree Somewhat | = 3 |
| Strongly Agree | = 4 |

Location: TSTC in Marshall **Department: Office Technology**

| Face-to-face Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion. | 10 | 3.50 |
| Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment. | 10 | 3.60 |
| Sections Surveyed: 2 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 10 |

| Web Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| The online format was clear and easy to access. | 12 | 3.58 |
| The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course. | 12 | 3.75 |
| I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course. | 12 | 3.50 |
| The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable. | 12 | 3.67 |
| Sections Surveyed: 3 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 11 |

| Instructor Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| The instructor communicated course content effectively. | 12 | 3.83 |
| The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus. | 12 | 3.83 |
| The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress. | 12 | 3.83 |
| I would recommend this instructor to other students. | 12 | 3.83 |
| The instructor was responsive outside of class times. | 12 | 3.67 |
| The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas. | 12 | 3.58 |
| The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn. | 12 | 3.92 |
| Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals. | 12 | 3.83 |
| Sections Surveyed: 3 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 11 |

Texas State Technical College
17/FA End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

| Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows: | |
|--|-----|
| Not Applicable | = 0 |
| Strongly Disagree | = 1 |
| Disagree Somewhat | = 2 |
| Agree Somewhat | = 3 |
| Strongly Agree | = 4 |

Location: TSTC in Marshall **Department: Precision Machining Technology**

| Face-to-face Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion. | 4 | 4.00 |
| Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment. | 4 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 2 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 2 |

| Web Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The online format was clear and easy to access. | 2 | 4.00 |
| The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course. | 2 | 4.00 |
| I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course. | 2 | 4.00 |
| The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable. | 2 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 1 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 2 |

| Instructor Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The instructor communicated course content effectively. | 4 | 4.00 |
| The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus. | 4 | 4.00 |
| The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress. | 4 | 4.00 |
| I would recommend this instructor to other students. | 4 | 4.00 |
| The instructor was responsive outside of class times. | 4 | 4.00 |
| The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas. | 4 | 4.00 |
| The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn. | 4 | 4.00 |
| Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals. | 4 | 3.50 |
| Sections Surveyed: 2 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 2 |

| General Questions | Responses | Average |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The condition of lab equipment was acceptable. | 1 | 4.00 |
| The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable. | 1 | 4.00 |
| I understand how to use library resources online. | 1 | 4.00 |
| I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc. | 1 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 1 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 1 |

Texas State Technical College
17/FA End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

| Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows: | |
|--|-----|
| Not Applicable | = 0 |
| Strongly Disagree | = 1 |
| Disagree Somewhat | = 2 |
| Agree Somewhat | = 3 |
| Strongly Agree | = 4 |

Location: TSTC in Marshall **Department: Process Operations**

| Face-to-face Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion. | 23 | 3.91 |
| Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment. | 23 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 12 | Instructors Surveyed: 5 | Students Responding: 15 |

| Web Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The online format was clear and easy to access. | 11 | 3.73 |
| The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course. | 11 | 3.45 |
| I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course. | 11 | 3.36 |
| The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable. | 10 | 3.80 |
| Sections Surveyed: 6 | Instructors Surveyed: 3 | Students Responding: 7 |

| Instructor Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| The instructor communicated course content effectively. | 26 | 3.73 |
| The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus. | 26 | 3.85 |
| The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress. | 26 | 3.65 |
| I would recommend this instructor to other students. | 26 | 3.65 |
| The instructor was responsive outside of class times. | 26 | 3.69 |
| The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas. | 25 | 3.92 |
| The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn. | 25 | 3.92 |
| Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals. | 25 | 3.92 |
| Sections Surveyed: 13 | Instructors Surveyed: 5 | Students Responding: 17 |

| General Questions | Responses | Average |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The condition of lab equipment was acceptable. | 2 | 3.50 |
| The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable. | 2 | 3.50 |
| I understand how to use library resources online. | 2 | 3.50 |
| I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc. | 2 | 3.50 |
| Sections Surveyed: 1 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 2 |

Texas State Technical College
17/FA End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

| Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows: | |
|--|-----|
| Not Applicable | = 0 |
| Strongly Disagree | = 1 |
| Disagree Somewhat | = 2 |
| Agree Somewhat | = 3 |
| Strongly Agree | = 4 |

Location: TSTC in Marshall **Department: Software Development**

| Face-to-face Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion. | 14 | 3.93 |
| Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment. | 14 | 3.79 |
| Sections Surveyed: 6 | Instructors Surveyed: 3 | Students Responding: 9 |

| Web Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The online format was clear and easy to access. | 9 | 3.89 |
| The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course. | 9 | 3.89 |
| I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course. | 9 | 3.67 |
| The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable. | 9 | 3.78 |
| Sections Surveyed: 4 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 7 |

| Instructor Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The instructor communicated course content effectively. | 13 | 3.92 |
| The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus. | 13 | 3.92 |
| The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress. | 14 | 3.86 |
| I would recommend this instructor to other students. | 14 | 4.00 |
| The instructor was responsive outside of class times. | 14 | 4.00 |
| The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas. | 14 | 4.00 |
| The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn. | 14 | 3.93 |
| Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals. | 14 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 6 | Instructors Surveyed: 3 | Students Responding: 9 |

| General Questions | Responses | Average |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The condition of lab equipment was acceptable. | 1 | 4.00 |
| The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable. | 1 | 4.00 |
| I understand how to use library resources online. | 1 | 4.00 |
| I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc. | 1 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 1 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 1 |

Texas State Technical College
17/FA End of Course Evaluation
Department Distribution Report

| Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows: | |
|--|-----|
| Not Applicable | = 0 |
| Strongly Disagree | = 1 |
| Disagree Somewhat | = 2 |
| Agree Somewhat | = 3 |
| Strongly Agree | = 4 |

Location: TSTC in Marshall **Department: Welding Technology**

| Face-to-face Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion. | 13 | 4.00 |
| Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment. | 13 | 3.92 |
| Sections Surveyed: 4 | Instructors Surveyed: 3 | Students Responding: 7 |

| Web Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The online format was clear and easy to access. | 11 | 3.91 |
| The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course. | 12 | 3.92 |
| I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course. | 11 | 3.73 |
| The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable. | 11 | 3.82 |
| Sections Surveyed: 4 | Instructors Surveyed: 3 | Students Responding: 6 |

| Instructor Questions | Responses | Average |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The instructor communicated course content effectively. | 13 | 3.92 |
| The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus. | 13 | 3.92 |
| The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress. | 13 | 3.85 |
| I would recommend this instructor to other students. | 13 | 3.85 |
| The instructor was responsive outside of class times. | 12 | 3.83 |
| The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas. | 13 | 3.85 |
| The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn. | 13 | 3.85 |
| Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals. | 13 | 3.92 |
| Sections Surveyed: 4 | Instructors Surveyed: 3 | Students Responding: 7 |

| General Questions | Responses | Average |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|
| The condition of lab equipment was acceptable. | 1 | 4.00 |
| The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable. | 1 | 4.00 |
| I understand how to use library resources online. | 1 | 2.00 |
| I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc. | 1 | 4.00 |
| Sections Surveyed: 1 | Instructors Surveyed: 1 | Students Responding: 1 |